

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/068,597	02/06/2002	Frank R. Nissel	1159-CIP-99 8280		
7590 06/03/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
IP Department			EASHOO, MARK		
	on Segal & Lewis				
36th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1600 Market Street			1732	**************************************	
Philadelphia, PA 19103			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer.	10/068,597	NISSEL, FRANK R.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply lf NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to	ely filed  will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication.				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ap	ril 2004.					
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
_						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	miner. Note the attached Office A	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Ottachmont/c)						
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4)   Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pat	ent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/02.	6)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/068.597

Art Unit: 1732

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of claims 1-7, filed 19-APR-2004, is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 8-9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected claim grouping, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the papers filed 19-APR-2004.

### Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, II F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Langi,* 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vagel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January I, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7, respectively, of U. S. Patent No. 6,406,285. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

In general, claim I of U. S. Patent No. 6,406,285 is not identical, but only minor differences in terminology occur. For example: the term "aligned" (li. 4) is now presented as – designed - ; the term "oriented" (li. 7) is now presented as – positioned - .

These variations in terminology are essentially are matters of degree and the instant terms are generally broader than the terms of claim 1 of U. S. Patent No. 6,406,285 and effectively read on the terms since a species anticipates a genus.

Art Unit: 1732

Furthermore, the limitation of "a plurality of cooling roll supports.." is not present in the instant claims. However, the limitation is inherent in the instant claim because some form of roll support is required,

Claims 2-6 of U. S. Patent No. 6,406,285 are substantially identical to instant claims 2-6.

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Eashoo, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1197. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am-3pm EST, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at

866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Eashoo, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1732

oil Junlot

6/1/04 me